

Growth and Flowering of 'Imperial' Pineapple Plants under Macronutrient and Boron Deficiency

M.J.M. Ramos^a

Empresa Mato-Grossense de Pesquisa
Assistência e Extensão Rural S/A
(EMPAER/MT), Rua 2 , S/n, Edificio Ceres
CPA, Cuiabá-MT
Brazil

P.H. Monnerat , L.G. da R. Pinho

and J.L. de A. Pinto
Universidade Estadual do Norte
Fluminense (UENF)/CCTA
Av. Alberto Lamego, 2000
Campos dos Goytacazes
Brazil

Keywords: *Ananas comosus* var. *comosus*, nutrient solution, number of leaves, concentration of nutrients

Abstract

This work was carried out under greenhouse conditions to evaluate the influence of macronutrient and boron deficiencies on growth and flowering of 'Imperial' pineapple plants. The treatments Complete, - N, - P, - K, - Ca, - Mg, - S and - B were applied as nutrient solutions in plastic pots with 14 kg of purified beach sand and one pineapple plant as the experimental unit. The trial was set up in a randomized complete blocks design with six replications. Evaluations were done on fresh and dry weight, length and width of 'D' leaves, 'D' leaf area and number of leaves at forcing date and percentage of flowering in response to forcing. In addition, foliar concentrations of macronutrients and boron were determined. The deficiencies of N and K reduced fresh and dry weight, length, width and area of 'D' leaves at nine and 12 months after planting; that of Ca reduced fresh weight and 'D' leaf area at 12 months and the deficiencies of P, Mg, S and B did not significantly affect the leaf variables studied. At seven months after planting only N deficiency reduced leaf number and 'D' leaf area. The deficiencies of K, P, Ca and S accelerated flowering, while those of N and Mg delayed it. The appropriate and deficient concentrations in leaf dry matter at time of floral induction were, respectively: N = 14.8 and 6.6; P = 1.37 and 0.70; K = 23.0 and 11.6; Ca = 4.40 and 1.30; Mg = 2.30 and 0.90, S = 1.54 and 0.56 (all expressed as g kg⁻¹), and B = 20.0 and 5.6 mg kg⁻¹.

INTRODUCTION

The time of pineapple flowering and fruit harvest can be advanced and homogenized by application of growth regulators both into the central leaf rosette and over the whole plant (Reinhardt and Cunha, 2000).

Under favorable growing conditions after planting, roots will start to develop and thereafter new leaves will begin to emerge. From planting to the initiation of flower differentiation, which is the vegetative stage of pineapple plant development, roots, stem and leaves will be growing (Malézieux et. al., 2003), but leaves will represent about 90% of total shoot fresh weight. Leaf number may reach up to 80 per plant (Cunha and Cabral, 1999) and the largest ones may be more than 1,6 m long and 7 cm wide, depending on the cultivar and the environmental conditions (d'Eeckenbrugge and Loyal, 2003).

The effect of a moderate water stress on pineapple plants seems to be similar to that of a moderate nitrogen deficiency (Evans, 1959), but no study under controlled conditions was found in the literature. Sampaio (1997) did not observe significant effects of nitrogen supply as urea, in addition to regular mineral fertilization, and different planting dates on vegetative growth and natural flower differentiation of 'Smooth Cayenne' pineapple plants under the environmental conditions of the interior of São Paulo State.

^a majumota@ig.com.br

According to Das et al. (2000), the application of under doses of N and K delayed flowering of 'Giant Kew' pineapples in all planting densities studied. The importance of phosphorus for the metabolism of pineapple plants has been pointed out, especially at floral differentiation stage, when severe deficiency does not allow fruit formation (Gonçalves and Carvalho, 2000).

Some cultivars seem to be more susceptible to natural flowering than 'Smooth Cayenne' pineapples. Reinhardt et al. (2002) reported that the main Brazilian pineapple cultivar, 'Pérola', is more sensitive to floral differentiation than 'Smooth Cayenne', both under forced and natural conditions.

'Imperial' is a new Brazilian pineapple cultivar, produced by Embrapa Cassava & Tropical Fruits as a 'Smooth Cayenne' × 'Perolera' hybrid. It is resistant to fusariosis, the main constraint to the pineapple crop in Brazil. Its management recommendations have to be adjusted from those applied to 'Smooth Cayenne' and 'Pérola' crops. No specific information has been available on its response to nutrient deficiencies.

This work aimed at evaluating the influence of macronutrient and boron deficiencies on growth variables and flowering of 'Imperial' pineapple plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was set up in a greenhouse in Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, on December 19, 2003, using plantlets of 'Imperial' pineapple obtained by tissue culture at Campo Biotecnologia Vegetal Ltd., Cruz das Almas, Bahia, Brazil.

The experiment consisted of eight treatments: Complete, -N, -P, -K, -Ca, -Mg, -S and -B, distributed in a randomized complete block design with six replications. The experimental unit was represented by one plant placed into a plastic pot containing 14 kg of beach sand previously purified by soaking it with hydrochloric acid diluted in water (1:4) during four hours and subsequently washing it with pure water until stable pH of 5, followed by a final wash with deionized water.

Plantlets had an average size of 6 cm. From planting each pot received 500 ml of deionized water every other day for 15 days, when new roots began to be emitted. From then each pot was supplied three times per week with 500 ml of the complete nutrient solution that presented the following composition, in mg L⁻¹: N(NO₃) = 112; N(NH₄)⁺ = 3.5; P = 7.74; K = 156.4; Ca = 80; Mg = 24.3; S = 32.0; Cl = 1.77; Mn = 0.55; Zn = 0.13; Cu = 0.03; Mo = 0.06; B = 0.27; Fe = 2.23, set to pH = 5.5. From 50 days after beginning of the application of the complete solution or 65 days after planting, the -B treatment was started to be applied. The other treatments continued to get the complete solution up to 105 days after planting. Thereafter the concentration of macronutrients was reduced to 10% of the complete solution and from 150 days after planting the treatments -N, -P, -K, -Ca, -Mg and -S started to be fully applied. At 240 days after planting, the plants of the -N treatment presented very severe symptoms of deficiency. Therefore they received a nutrient solution with 10% of N of the complete solution for a period of four weeks in order to reduce the risk of absence of fruit formation by these plants. The volumes of nutrient solution applied varied with plant age, from 500 ml up to 150 days after planting, to 700 ml from 150 days to 330 days after planting and finally 1 L until fruit harvest, applied three times a week.

At eight months (19/08/2004) after planting flowering forcing was done by applying a 50 mL solution of Ethrel 0.1%, urea 2% and calcium hydroxide 0.035% into the central rosette of each plant. At that stage 'D' leaves of plants in the complete treatment reached on average 63.4 cm of length and 39.2 g of fresh weight and a total of 55 leaves. The climatic conditions at forcing time are shown in Table 1. A second application of the forcing solution was done on November 8, 2004, in plants that had not bloomed until then.

Plant growth was assessed based upon 'D' leaves variables taken at 5, 7, 9 and 12 months after planting: fresh and dry weight, length, width and leaf area (measured by using a leaf area meter model Licor 3100, Lincoln, Nebraska). The specific leaf area was calculated by means of the relationship leaf area/leaf dry weight. The concentrations of N,

P, K, Ca, Mg, S, and B in the whole 'D' leaf were determined according to the methodology of Malavolta et al. (1997) modified by Monnerat (w/d). The percentage of flowering plants was calculated from number of inflorescences visible in the central rosette determined at daily intervals starting on September 20, 2004.

Data obtained were submitted to the variance analysis and averages of the treatments under nutrient deficiency were compared with those of the complete treatment by the test of Dunnet at 5%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Vegetative Growth

At five months after planting, there were no significant effects of the mineral nutrient deficiencies studied on plant vegetative growth (Tables 2, 3, 4), in spite of the significant reduction of leaf nutrient concentrations already shown by the plants in relation to the complete treatment (Table 6).

At 7 months after planting, leaf number and area were significantly reduced by N deficiency (Tables 2 and 4) and 'D' leaf width by K deficiency (Table 3). At 9 months after planting only the deficiencies of N and K determined statistically significant reductions of fresh and dry weight, length, width and area of 'D' leaf (Tables 2, 3, 4). And at 12 months after planting 'D' leaf length was decreased by N deficiency only, whereas 'D' leaf fresh weight and area were reduced by N, K and Ca deficiencies; in addition, N and K deficiencies reduced 'D' leaf dry weight and width (Tables 2, 3, 4). These results show that N deficiency has the most negative effects on 'Imperial' pineapple plant growth.

According to Malézieux et al. (2003), reduced leaf size is a common consequence of N deficiency. They also observed that low potassium levels are associated with reduced growth and narrower leaves in relation to its length. The effect of K on vegetative growth may be linked to its effect on the synthesis of proteins in meristematic tissues and on cellular elongation.

The effect of N deficiency on pineapple plant growth was also shown by Razzaque et al. (1999), who observed reduction of leaf number and of 'D' leaf dry weight and area in 'Gandul' pineapple. The effect of N deficiency on the reduction of leaf number was also mentioned by Manica (1999) and Malézieux et al. (2003).

The deficiencies of P, Mg, S and B did not present statistically significant effects on leaf variables at all four plant development stages studied, although their leaf concentrations were much lower than those determined for the complete treatment (Tables 2, 3 and 4). In addition, none deficiency had significant influence on the specific 'D' leaf area (Table 4), suggesting that those deficiencies reduced proportionally leaf dimensions and weight.

Flowering

The period from forcing treatment to inflorescence appearance in plants of the complete treatment fell inside the time range mentioned by Cunha (1999), from 40 to 50 days.

Plants with P, Ca and S deficiencies showed their inflorescence earlier. At 40 days after forcing, all plants of these treatments presented inflorescences, while in the complete treatment this was the case only for 67% of the plants. Some acceleration of inflorescence appearance was also observed for K deficient plants (Table 5). These results suggest that the deficiencies of those nutrients altered the hormonal balance of the plant, favoring the action of the IAA auxin in the apical meristem towards flowering (Cunha, 1999).

The deficiency of Mg also delayed the appearance of the inflorescence (Table 5) and this effect can be a consequence of reduction of photoassimilate production due to decrease of chlorophyll synthesis (Marschner, 1995).

In the -N treatment only 50% of the plants showed their inflorescence until 45 days after forcing, while this was true for 83% of the plants from the complete solution

treatment. A similar result was found by Das et. al. (2000), who observed a decrease in the flowering percentage of 'Giant Kew' pineapple plants in response to application of under doses of nitrogen.

The small increase in leaf N concentration observed at nine months after planting in the -N treatment was determined by 12 applications of a solution containing N during the preceding four weeks carried out in order to avoid absence of fruit formation due to the severity of the deficiency. In apple and in citrus, one pre-flowering application of ammonium nitrogen has a greater effect on plant development than the continuous supply of nitric nitrogen, increasing the floral initiation, probably by the synthesis of nitrogen compounds, as the polyamines, that activate the floral initiation (Pimentel, 1998).

In response to the second flowering forcing treatment all plants that remained in the vegetative stage until then formed their inflorescence, except for those from the -N treatment, confirming the strong negative effect of this deficiency on floral initiation.

CONCLUSIONS

Under the conditions of this work the following conclusions can be pointed out for the effects of macronutrient and boron deficiencies on 'Imperial' pineapple plant development:

N deficiency had very strong negative effects, affecting both growth and response to flowering forcing.

K and, to a lower degree, Ca deficiencies showed negative effects on plant growth, but did not affect response to flowering forcing treatment.

P, Mg, S and B did not significantly affect plant growth and had no major effects on flowering.

The appropriate and deficient concentrations observed in the leaf dry matter at time of floral induction were respectively: N = 14.8 and 6.6; P = 1.37 and 0.70; K = 23.0 and 11.6; Ca = 4.40 and 1.30; Mg = 2.30 and 0.90, S = 1.54 and 0.56 (all expressed as g kg⁻¹), and B = 20.0 and 5.6 mg kg⁻¹.

Literature Cited

- Cunha, G.A.P. da. 1999. Florescimento e uso de fitorreguladores. p.229-251. In: Cunha, G.A.P.da; Cabral, J.R.S.; Sousa, L.F. da S.(org). O abacaxizeiro, cultivo, agroindústria e economia. Brasília, Embrapa Comunicação para Transferência de Tecnologia.
- Cunha, G.A.P. and Cabral, J.R.S. 1999. Taxonomia, Espécies,Cultivares e Morfologia. p. 17-51. In: Cunha, G.A.P.da; Cabral, J.R.S.and Sousa, L.F. da S.(org). O abacaxizeiro, cultivo, agroindústria e economia. Brasília, Embrapa Comunicação para Transferência de Tecnologia.
- Das, B.C., Sen,S.K. and Sadhu, M.K. 2000. Flowering behavior and yield of pineapple as influenced by different plant densities and nutrition. Environment and Ecology 18:334-337.
- D'Eeckenbrugge, G.C. and Leal, F. 2003. Morphology, anatomy and taxonomy. p- 13-32. In: Bartholomew, D.P., Paul, R.E., Rohrbach, K.G. The Pineapple - Botany, Production and Uses. Honolulu: CABI Publishing,
- Evans, H.R. 1959. The influence of growth promoting substances on pineapples Tropical Agriculture 36:108-117.
- Gonçalves, N.B. and Carvalho,V.D. de. 2000. Características da Fruta. In: Gonçalves, N.B. Abacaxi Pós-colheita. Embrapa Agroindústria de Alimentos and Embrapa Comunicação para Transferência de Tecnologia, Brasília, Frutas do Brasil 5:13-27.
- Malavolta, E., Vitti, G.C. and Oliveira, S.A. 1997. Avaliação do estado nutricional das plantas- princípios e aplicações. 2^a ed. Piracicaba: Associação Brasileira para Pesquisa da Potassa e do Fosfato, 319 p.
- Malézieux, E., Côte, F. and Bartholomew, D.P. 2003. Crop environment, plant growth and physiology. p. 69-107. In: Bartholomew, D.P., Paul, R.E., Rohrbach, K.G. The Pineapple- Botany, Production and Uses. Honolulu: CABI Publishing.

- Manica, I. 1999. Fruticultura Tropical 5. Abacaxi. Porto Alegre: Cinco Continentes, 501 p.
- Marschner, H. 1995. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. 2nd. Ed. Academic Press. San Diego. 889 p.
- Pimentel, C. 1998. Metabolismo de carbono na agricultura tropical. Seropédica: Edur, 150 p.
- Razzaque, A.H.M. and Hanafi, M.M. 2001. Effect of potassium on growth, yield and quality of pineapple in tropical peat. Fruits 56:45-49.
- Reinhardt, D.H. and Cunha, G.A.P da. 2000. Manejo da floração. In: Reinhardt, D.H.; Souza, L.F.da S. Abacaxi. Produção. Aspectos técnicos. Frutas do Brasil, 7, Embrapa Comunicação para Transferência de Tecnologia, Brasília 7:41-44.
- Sampaio, A.C., Cunha, R.J.P. and Cunha, A.R. 1997. Influencia do nitrogênio e de épocas de plantio sobre o crescimento vegetativo e a diferenciação floral natural do abacaxizeiro cv. Smooth Cayenne. Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura 19:7-14.
- Veloso, C.A.C, Oeiras, A.H.L., Carvalho, E.J.M. and Souza, F.R.S. de. 2001. Resposta do abacaxizeiro à adição do nitrogênio, potássio e calcário em Latossolo amarelo do nordeste paraense. Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura 23:396-402.

Tables

Table 1. Climatic conditions at flowering forcing of 'Imperial' pineapple plants. Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil, 2004.

| Days before and after flowering forcing | Climatic variables | | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------|
| | Temperature (°C) | Hours of light ² | Solar radiation ¹ (Wm ⁻²) | |
| | Média ¹ | Máxima ¹ | Mínima ¹ | |
| 19/8/2004 | 20.2 | 27.7 | 14.7 | 11.00 |
| * 20/08/2004 | 20.7 | 28.0 | 14.8 | 7.65 |
| 21/08/2004 | 21.8 | 30.0 | 16.6 | 11.05 |
| 22/08/2004 | 22.4 | 31.8 | 17.1 | 11.00 |
| 23/08/2004 | 22.5 | 31.6 | 18.5 | 8.60 |
| 24/08/2004 | 21.2 | 25.7 | 18.7 | 5.80 |

1 - EEC - Pesagro Rio, Campos dos Goytacazes; 2 - UENF Evapotranspirometric Station, * - Date of flowering forcing

Table 2. Effect of macronutrient and boron deficiencies on 'D' leaf fresh and dry weights at five, seven, nine and 12 months after planting and leaf number of 'Imperial' pineapple plants, at five and seven months after planting. UENF, Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, 2004.

| Treatments | Fresh Weight(g) | | | | Dry Weight(g) | | | | Number of leaves | |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--------|
| | Months after planting | | | | Months after planting | | | | Months after planting | |
| | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Complete | 36.2 | 39.2 | 40.7 | 47.9 | 4.30 | 5.03 | 5.55 | 7.22 | 40.5 | 55.2 |
| -N | 34.,0ns | 31.5ns | 26.2- | 27.1- | 4.00ns | 3.93ns | 3.36- | 4.10- | 36.2ns | 48.0- |
| -P | 34.7ns | 34.1ns | 35.4ns | 42.3ns | 4.30ns | 4.44ns | 5.06ns | 7.40ns | 38.5ns | 52.7ns |
| -K | 30.9ns | 31.8ns | 27.8- | 36.4- | 3.90ns | 4.34ns | 4.09- | 5.86- | 40.0ns | 54.3ns |
| -Ca | 32.0ns | 33.0ns | 33.8ns | 38.7- | 4.00ns | 4.17ns | 4.80ns | 6.30ns | 40.7ns | 56.0ns |
| -Mg | 32.3ns | 36.4ns | 36.5ns | 40.6ns | 3.90ns | 4.59ns | 4.87ns | 6.24ns | 40.0ns | 55.2ns |
| -S | 36.7ns | 42.8ns | 39.6ns | 51.0ns | 4.50ns | 5.31ns | 5.20ns | 7.44ns | 39.0ns | 53.5ns |
| -B | 33.3ns | 37.4ns | 38.1ns | 45.5ns | 4.08ns | 4.70ns | 5.25ns | 6.94ns | 38.3ns | 53.8ns |
| Mean values | 33.8 | 35.8 | 34.8 | 41.2 | 4.12 | 4.56 | 4.77 | 6.44 | 39.2 | 53.6 |
| CV (%) | | | 14.5 | | | 16.5 | | | 5.9 | |

In each column, means followed by +, - or ns either are larger, smaller or don't differ from the complete treatment, respectively, according to Dunnett's test at 5% level.

Table 3. Effect of macronutrient and boron deficiencies on 'D' leaf length and width of 'Imperial' pineapple plants at five, seven, nine and 12 months after planting. UENF, Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil, 2004.

| Treatments | Leaf lenght (cm) | | | | Leaf width (cm) | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|
| | Months after planting | | | | Months after planting | | | | | |
| | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 | | |
| Complete | 60.1 | 65.7 | 64.8 | 68.0 | 5.80 | 4.90 | 5.17 | 5.27 | | |
| -N | 58.5ns | 58.1ns | 54.6- | 56.5- | 5.60ns | 4.50ns | 3.93- | 4.02- | | |
| -P | 61.2ns | 65.2ns | 66.1ns | 71.3ns | 5.50ns | 4.83ns | 4.73ns | 5.00ns | | |
| -K | 58.9ns | 61.2ns | 55.5- | 63.5ns | 5.30ns | 4.40- | 4.22- | 4.68- | | |
| -Ca | 57.4ns | 59.4ns | 59.0ns | 64.4ns | 5.50ns | 4.82ns | 4.82ns | 4.85ns | | |
| -Mg | 58.3ns | 63.9ns | 63.2ns | 66.2ns | 5.50ns | 4.85ns | 4.78ns | 4.85ns | | |
| -S | 61.6ns | 67.7ns | 65.1ns | 71.9ns | 5.80ns | 5.23ns | 5.13ns | 5.27ns | | |
| -B | 57.6ns | 60.0ns | 59.7ns | 66.3ns | 5.80ns | 5.15ns | 4.92ns | 5.22ns | | |
| Mean values | 59.2 | 62.7 | 61.0 | 66.0 | 5.60 | 4.84 | 4.71 | 4.90 | | |
| CV (%) | | | 8.46 | | | 6.49 | | | | |

In each column, means followed by +, - or ns either are larger, smaller or don't differ from the complete treatment, respectively, according to Dunnett's test at 5% level.

Table 4. Effect of macronutrient and boron deficiencies on 'D' leaf area and specific leaf area of 'Imperial' pineapple plants at five, seven, nine and 12 months after planting. UENF, Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil, 2004.

| Treatments | Foliar area (cm^2) | | | | Specific foliar area (cm^2g^{-1}) | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---|--------|--------|--------|
| | Months after planting | | | | Months after planting | | | |
| | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 |
| Complete | 237.6 | 201.6 | 184.03 | 233.3 | 55.9 | 40.1ns | 33.5ns | 32.2ns |
| -N | 214.8ns | 160.8- | 124.6- | 143.2- | 53.6ns | 41.1ns | 37.8ns | 33.5ns |
| -P | 226.4ns | 196.5ns | 181.4ns | 220.6ns | 53.5ns | 44.4ns | 36.3ns | 29.9ns |
| -K | 204.3ns | 165.1ns | 129.8- | 183.1- | 53.1ns | 38.0ns | 31.9ns | 31.2ns |
| -Ca | 212.5ns | 184.6ns | 157.0ns | 191.1- | 54.2ns | 46.1ns | 33.2ns | 30.9ns |
| -Mg | 217.6ns | 194.9ns | 173.2ns | 204.7ns | 56.0ns | 42.8ns | 35.7ns | 33.3ns |
| -S | 246.8ns | 225.6ns | 188.5ns | 249.1ns | 55.1ns | 42.9ns | 36.4ns | 33.5ns |
| -B | 214.9ns | 194.2ns | 166.5ns | 222.9ns | 52.8ns | 41.0ns | 31.6ns | 32.1ns |
| Mean values | 221.9 | 190.4 | 163.1 | 206.0 | 54.3 | 42.1 | 34.6 | 32.1 |
| CV (%) | | | 12.5 | | | | 10.6 | |

In each column, means followed by +, - or ns either are larger, smaller or don't differ from the complete treatment, respectively, according to Dunnett's test at 5% level.

Table 5. Flowering dates (day/month) and percentage of flowering plants of 'Imperial' pineapple at 30, 32, 35, 40, 45 and 50 days after forcing. UENF, Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil, 2004.

| Treatments | Date of Flowering (Red bud stage) | | | | | | Flowering plants (%) | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|----------------------|----|----|------|-----|-----|
| | Blocks | | | | | | Days after induction | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 30 | 32 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| Complete | * | 26/9 | 28/9 | 26/9 | 5/10 | 26/9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 83 | 83 |
| -N | * | 1/10 | 29/9 | * | * | 26/9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 50 | 50 |
| -P | 26/9 | 30/9 | 28/9 | 28/9 | 29/9 | 29/9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| -K | 26/9 | 29/9 | 27/9 | 3/10 | 26/9 | 29/9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 100 | 100 |
| -Ca | 29/9 | 23/9 | 27/9 | 30/9 | 23/9 | 23/9 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| -Mg | 9/10 | 26/9 | * | * | 1/10 | 1/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.7 | 50 | 50 |
| -S | 26/9 | 29/9 | 26/9 | 26/9 | 26/9 | 26/9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| -B | 26/9 | 30/9 | 2/10 | * | 23/9 | 1/10 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 50 | 83 | 83 |

* Plants that didn't bloom in response to the first forcing treatment (19/08/2004). Second forcing treatment done on 08/11/2004.

Table 6. 'Imperial' pineapple leaf nutrient concentrations in the complete and in the deficient treatments at four sampling times. UENF, Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil, 2004.

| Nutrient | Treatment | Sampling time | | | | CV (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| | | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 | |
| Nitrogen (g kg ⁻¹) | Complete | 13.3 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 13.4 | 8.13 |
| | -N | 8.7- | 6.6- | 9.7- | 6.8- | |
| Phosphorus (g kg ⁻¹) | Complete | 1.30 | 1.37 | 1.23 | 1.04 | 11.3 |
| | -P | 0.97- | 0.70- | 0.67- | 0.32- | |
| Potassium (g kg ⁻¹) | Complete | 21.6 | 23.0 | 20.0 | 23.8 | 9.05 |
| | -K | 13.8- | 11.6- | 11.6- | 3.2- | |
| Calcium (g kg ⁻¹) | Complete | 4.30 | 4.40 | 4.37 | 7.59 | 15.6 |
| | -Ca | 2.30- | 1.30- | 0.91- | 1.72- | |
| Magnesium (g kg ⁻¹) | Complete | 2.10 | 2.30 | 2.26 | 3.57 | 12.0 |
| | -Mg | 1.30- | 0.90- | 0.73- | 0.54- | |
| Sulfur (g kg ⁻¹) | Complete | 1.80 | 1.54 | 1.45 | 1.63 | 16.2 |
| | -S | 1.20- | 0.56- | 0.45- | 0.54- | |
| Boron (mg kg ⁻¹) | Complete | 21.2 | 20.0 | 18.4 | 30.5 | 11.5 |
| | -B | 8.5- | 5.6- | 5.8- | 5.5- | |

In each column and for each nutrient, means followed by - are smaller than the one of the complete treatment, according to Dunnett's test at 5% level.